UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,207	02/24/2006	Tetsuhiro Ishikawa	10517-271	7847
23838 KENYON & K	7590 05/27/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE	_	BOBISH, CHRISTOPHER S		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/531,207	ISHIKAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER BOBISH	3746
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tte, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ The 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 15-16 and 18-27 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15,16 and 18-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🖂 Indonésia o Comme	v(PTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)	Oate

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/26/2010 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The amendments filed on 04/23/2010 are insufficient to overcome the Takabayashi, Takatoshi, Sugiyama and Fukuda references.

Claims 15-16 and 18-27 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takabayashi (US Patent No. 4,741,978) in view of Takatoshi et al (EP 1 235 340 A2, as in the IDS) in view of Sugiyama (US Patent No. 4,968,338) in view of Fukuda et al (US PGPub No. 2001/0026429).

Takabayashi teaches:

limitations from claim 15, a hydrogen operated power system, comprising: a supply system which supplies a gaseous fuel from a fuel supply tank, C. 2 Lines 31-34, to a hydrogen operated power source, FIG. 2 (1) C. 2 Line 27; and a pump, FIG. 2 (5) C. 2 Line 34, provided in the supply system, which is driven by a motor, FIG. 2 (6) C. 2 Line 36; and an abnormality detecting portion, FIG. 1 (25, 26) C. 3 Lines 20-46, which detects a plurality of types of different abnormalities related to currents (overcurrent) driving the motor;

Takabayashi teaches a motor without mentioning a sensor, however Takatoshi specifically teaches the need for a pump motor to be constructed without sensors:

limitations from claim 15, a pump (7) and a sensor-less motor (8) for driving said pump, C. 2 paragraphs [0008, 0009] and C. 6 paragraphs [0042, 0045];

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of pump/motor systems at the time of the invention to provide a sensor-less motor as taught by Takatoshi to drive the pump taught by Takabayashi in order to increase the motor durability due to the lack of any fragile sensors.

Art Unit: 3746

Neither Takabayashi nor Takatoshi teaches a counting of abnormalities to determine an abnormality, but Sugiyama does.

Sugiyama teaches:

limitations from claim 15, wherein a pump (1) and a pump motor (1a) are controlled by an abnormality detecting portion (12); wherein said detecting portion counts up an inclusive number of the plurality of types of detected abnormalities (via 12a), regardless of type, compares the number of the detected abnormalities with a predetermined number of times, and determines that an abnormality has occurred in the supply system when the number of the detected abnormalities reaches the predetermined number of times (C. 5 Line 26 to C. 6 Line 5), after an instruction has been given to start the motor until a predetermined period of time has passed, the predetermined number being larger than one;

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of pump/motor systems at the time of the invention to provide a counting and comparing operation as taught by Sugiyama in the system as taught by Takabayashi and modified by Takatoshi in order to avoid erroneous detections and motor shutdown, resulting in continuous driving of the motor.

In regards to the limitation that different types of abnormalities are to be detected by the detecting portion, the examiner believes that monitoring overcurrent as taught by Takabayashi and Sugiyama would meet the limitation.

Fukuda teaches that overcurrent can be detected to signal multiple abnormalities related to motor driving current (short circuit, rotor lock...) (paragraphs 0053, 0157 and 0160);

Takabayashi, Takatoshi, Fukuda and Sugiyama disclose and teach of the power system in claim 15.

Sugiyama further teaches:

limitations from claim 23, a system stopping portion which stops the system when the abnormality determining portion determines that the abnormality has occurred in the supply system (C. 5 Line 26 to C. 6 Line 5);

limitations from claims 22 and 24, a restart instructing portion instructing a system to restart when an abnormality has been detected by the abnormality detecting portion, and stopping the system when the restart portion determines there is an abnormality, **C. 5 Lines 42-54**, **C. 6 Lines 19-25**;

limitations from claim 25, wherein the abnormality detecting portion detects, as one of the plurality of abnormalities, at least one of an **over-current abnormality** in the motor, a short-circuit current abnormality in an element in the motor, and a lock abnormality in the motor, **C. 5 Lines 29-32**;

Takabayashi, Takatoshi, Fukuda and Sugiyama disclose and teach of the power system in claim 15.

Sugiyama further teaches:

limitations from claim 26, wherein the hydrogen operated power source (1) is a fuel cell, C. 2 Line 26;

Claims 16, 18, 20, 21 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takabayashi (US Patent No. 4,741,978) in view of Takatoshi et al (EP 1 235 340 A2, as in the IDS) in view of Sugiyama (US Patent No. 4,968,338) in view of Fukuda et al (US PGPub No. 2001/0026429) as applied to claim 15 above, and in further view of Nonobe et al (USPGPUB No. 2002/0094467 A1).

Takabayashi, Takatoshi, Fukuda and Sugiyama disclose and teach of the power system in claim 15.

Nonobe teaches:

limitations from claim 16, a hydrogen operated power system, comprising: a supply system which supplies a gaseous fuel from a fuel supply tank, **FIG. 4**

Art Unit: 3746

(300) ¶ 80, to a hydrogen operated power source, FIG. 4 (100) ¶ 26 and 75; and a pump; and an abnormality detecting portion which detects a plurality of types of different abnormalities related to driving a motor, ¶ 72-73 and 81; wherein a supply system circulates the gaseous fuel supplied from the fuel supply tank (300) to the hydrogen operated power source (100) via a circulation path, FIG. 4 (401, 403) ¶ 80, so as to supply the gaseous fuel to the hydrogen operated power source, and the pump (410) circulates the gaseous fuel in the circulation path, ¶ 85;

limitations from claim 18, wherein a supply system is provided with a check valve, FIG. 4 (426) ¶ 80, mounted on a discharge side of the pump (410), and the abnormality determining portion (as taught by Sugiyama) determines sticking of the check valve to be an abnormality in the supply system, ¶ 72 discusses the failure of a valve (404) to be a detectable abnormality in the system, and while this is not the check valve immediately at the discharge side of the pump, examiner believes that the malfunction of the check valve (426) would cause similar pressure changes and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to monitor this valve for failure as well or in place of the other valve (404);

limitations from claim 20, further comprising a pressure detecting portion which detects a pressure on the discharge side of the pump, and the abnormality determining portion determines whether the check valve is stuck based on the pressure detected by the pressure detecting portion, the upper half of ¶ 72 teaches a valve failure leading to a detectable pressure change in the system, the substitution of different abnormalities to monitor the system is considered by the examiner to be a routine skill to one of ordinary skill in the art;

limitations from claim 21, further comprising a system stopping portion which stops the system when it has been determined by the abnormality determining portion that there is an abnormality in the check valve, ¶ 72-73;

limitations from claim 27, wherein the hydrogen operated power system is used in a hydrogen engine, \P 3 - \P 5.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of hydrogen systems at the time of the invention to provide a pressure relief valve in the system taught by Takabayashi and modified by Takatoshi, Sugiyama and Fukuda, as taught by Nonobe in order to avoid damage to the fuel cell caused by high pressures.

Page 7

Art Unit: 3746

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takabayashi (US Patent No. 4,741,978) in view of Takatoshi et al (EP 1 235 340 A2, as in the IDS) in view of Sugiyama (US Patent No. 4,968,338) in view of Fukuda et al (US PGPub No. 2001/0026429) in view of Nonobe et al (USPGPUB No. 2002/0094467 A1) as applied to claims 15 and 18 above, and in further view of Ferguson et al (US Patent No 6,463,949 B2).

Nonobe, Takatoshi, Fukuda and Sugiyama teach and disclose of the power system of claim 18.

Ferguson further teaches:

limitations from claim 19, further comprising an outside air temperature detecting portion which detects an outside air temperature, and the abnormality determining portion determines whether the check valve is stuck based on the outside air temperature detected by the outside air temperature detecting portion, C. 1 Lines 18-23 of Ferguson teach that temperature of a fluid can be monitored in order to detect an abnormality in a valve, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of fuel pump systems to monitor the temperature outside of the system alternatively, as is known in the art of fuel systems, to monitor a valve;

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takabayashi (US Patent No. 4,741,978) in view of Takatoshi et al (EP 1 235 340 A2, as in the IDS) in view of Sugiyama (US Patent No. 4,968,338) in view of Fukuda et al (US PGPub No. 2001/0026429) in view of Nonobe et al (USPGPUB No. 2002/0094467 A1) as applied to claims 15 and 18 above, and in further view of Sugawara et al (US Patent No 7,279,242 B2).

Nonobe, Takatoshi, Fukuda, Sugiyama and Ferguson teach and disclose of the pump of claims 15 and 18.

Neither Nonobe nor Takatoshi nor Fukuda nor Sugiyama teach of monitoring an outside air temperature; Ferguson teaches monitoring a temperature of fluid inside the system, to check a valve status.

Sugawara teaches a section to monitor an outside air temperature of a system to control a valve.

limitations from claim 19, further comprising an outside air temperature detecting portion which detects an outside air temperature, **C. 6 Lines 52-63**;

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of pump/valve systems at the time of the invention to combine the temperature sensing system of Sugawara with the power system taught by Nonobe and modified by Takatoshi, Sugiyama, Fukuda and Ferguson in order to prevent system damage by running a pump/motor while an abnormality in the system exists. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to conclude from Sugawara that an abnormal change in temperature measured would be related to the function of a valve.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/26/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to the applicant's arguments pertaining to the detection of a plurality of types of abnormalities relating to a driving motor, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The detection of overcurrent, as taught by Takabayashi and Sugiyama, is indicative of multiple different abnormalities, such as motor/rotor lock and short circuiting. The examiner agrees that only one type of current characteristic is being monitored; however the overcurrent characteristic can be caused by different abnormalities. Therefore the systems taught by Takabayashi and Sugiyama, in teaching overcurrent detection, also teach the counting of different inclusive abnormalities as required by claim 15.

The applicant discusses IPM error in arguing against the use of the Sugiyama reference, stating that it would be impossible to modify Sugiyama to detect and count such an error. The claims do not require specific types of abnormalities or specific locations for sensors required for the detecting; the use of an IPM is narrower in scope than currently required by the claim language. It can be seen in FIG. 2 of Sugiyama that the current (in 6 and 6c) used for driving motor 1a is monitored by a detecting section 6b. C. 1 Lines 28-40 further demonstrate that the abnormalities being detected are related to the driving of the motor, specifically locking, load or failure. It is further taught that each of the abnormalities are detected by the occurrence of an overcurrent.

Art Unit: 3746

Sugiyama therefore teaches monitoring several abnormalities related to a current driving a motor, by the detection of a current characteristic (overcurrent).

Fukuda has been included to support the examiner's position that overcurrent represents more than one abnormality. The cited passages of Fukuda suggest that both short circuiting and motor-lock are detected via the monitoring of overcurrent. It is not the examiner's intention to introduce the structure of the Fukuda patent into the Takabayashi and Sugiyama combination (i.e. multiple circuits), the Takabayashi reference already teaches an overcurrent sensing arrangement.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER BOBISH whose telephone number is (571)270-5289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on (571)272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,207 Page 11

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher Bobish/ Examiner, Art Unit 3746 /Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746

/C. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3746